

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,709	02/24/2004	Richard F. Dean	020505	3646
23696 7:	590 · 04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM, INC			REGO, DOMINIC E	
5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			DATE MASS ED. 04/07/000	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/786,709	DEAN, RICHARD F.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dominic E. Rego	2684					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 F	ehruany 2004						
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	,						
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
,—							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected.						
•	<u> </u>						
or claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r clection requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO.413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite					
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,709

Art Unit: 2684

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-5,9-14,18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Iwai (US Patent #5,815,795).

Regarding claims 1,10 and 19, Iwai teaches method/apparatus for detecting oscillation in a repeater system comprising: embedding a wireless communication device circuit in the repeater; and using the wireless communication device circuit to determine if the repeater system is in oscillation (Col 1, line 7-15; Col 2, line 9-14; Col 2, line 50-56).

Regarding claims 2 and 11, Iwai teaches the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: establishing a call from the wireless communication device circuit to a base station; and determining oscillation if the call cannot be established (Col 2, line 57-Col 3, line 21).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,709

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 3,4,12 and 13, Iwai teaches the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: using the wireless communication device circuit to measure signal quality from the base station; and determining oscillation if the signal quality meets a certain criteria (Col 3, line 14-21; Col 3, line 46-53).

Regarding claim 5, and 14, Iwai teaches the method, wherein determining oscillation comprises determining oscillation if the signal quality degrades from a level that existed before the repeater was used (Col 3, line 14-21; Col 3, line 46-53).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, Iwai teaches the method, further comprising: reducing gain of repeater if the repeater system is in oscillation (Col 9, line 18-29).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 6-9 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Iwai (US Patent #5,815,795) in view of Seki et al. (US Patent #20040248581).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,709

Art Unit: 2684

١

Regarding claim 6 and 15, Iwai teaches all the claimed elements in claims 3 and 12, except for the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: obtaining signal to noise ratio value to measure the signal quality.

However, in related art, Seki teaches the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: obtaining signal to noise ratio value to measure the signal quality (*Paragraph 0006 and claim 3*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teaching of the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: obtaining signal to noise ratio value to measure the signal quality, as taught by Seki, in the Iwai device in order to receive a signal with desired quality (Seki, paragraph 0006).

Regarding claims 7 and 16, Iwai teaches, the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: using the wireless communication device circuit to estimate at least one open loop power control parameter; establishing a communication link from the wireless communication device circuit to a base station using the estimated open loop power control parameter; and determining oscillation if the closed loop power control command is greater than a certain amount (Col 2, line 50-Col 3, line 21), except for the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: receiving at least one closed loop power control command from the base station.

١

However, in related art, Seki teaches receiving at least one closed loop power control command from the base station (*Paragraph 0006*).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the teaching of the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises: receiving at least one closed loop power control command from the base station, as taught by Seki, in the Iwai device in order to adjust the transmission power with the target value and avoid the oscillation.

Regarding claims 8 and 17, the combination of Iwai and seki teach all the claimed elements in claim 7 and 16. In addition, Seki teaches the method/apparatus, wherein using the wireless communication device circuit comprises estimating at least a required transmit power to complete the call, wherein receiving closed loop power control commands comprises receiving at least power adjustment information (Paragraph 0006), and Iwai teaches wherein determining oscillation comprises determining oscillation if the power adjustment information is greater than a certain amount (Col 2, line 50-Col 3, line 21).

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2684

Oh et al. (US Patent #6,748,194) teaches repeater system for mobile communication system, has controller that restricts output of receiver from being sent to transceiver system, when power level of receiver is in oscillated state.

McFarlane et al. (US Patent #5,200,955) teaches repeater for TDMA mobile radio.

Mizota (US Patent #4,713,809) teaches time division multiple access radio communications system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dominic E. Rego whose telephone number is 571-272-8132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am-5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dominic E. Rego

EDAN ORGAD PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

Page 6

LP. 3/30/66